### <sup>14</sup>C-based emission estimates for halocarbons and other gases across the U.S.

S. Montzka<sup>1</sup>, J. Miller<sup>2</sup>, S. Lehman<sup>3</sup>,
A.E. Andrews<sup>1</sup>, C. Sweeney<sup>2</sup>, B. Miller<sup>2</sup>, H. Chen<sup>2</sup>, L. Hu<sup>1</sup>,
C. Wolak<sup>3</sup>, E. Dlugokencky<sup>1</sup>, J. Southon<sup>4</sup>, J. Turnbull<sup>5</sup>, B. LaFranchi<sup>6</sup>,
T. Guilderson<sup>6</sup>, M. Fischer<sup>7</sup>, P. Tans<sup>1</sup>, J. Elkins<sup>1</sup> and B.D. Hall<sup>1</sup>

ESRL, NOAA, Boulder, USA
 CIRES, U of Colorado, Boulder, USA
 INSTAAR, U of Colorado, Boulder, USA
 Earth System Science, U of California, Irvine, USA
 GNS, Lower Hutt, New Zealand
 LLNL, Livermore, USA
 LBNL, Berkeley, USA

Thanks to C. Siso, D. Neff, J. Higgs, S. Wolter, J. Kofler, P. Lang, D. Guenther, M. Crotwell, and others involved with sampling, analysis, logistics, and program management...

NOAA's Climate Program Office and its Atmospheric Chemistry, Carbon Cycle, and Climate Program.

An extension of the analysis in:

J.B. Miller, S.J. Lehman, S.A. Montzka, C. Sweeney, B.R. Miller, A. Karion, C. Wolak, E.J. Dlugokencky, J. Southon, J.C. Turnbull, P.P Tans, *JGR-A*, *117*, D08302, doi:10.1029/2011JD017048, 2012.

#### Goal:

Derive atmosphere-based estimates of national emission magnitudes for chemicals influencing climate, ozone, & air quality.

### **Approach:**

use multiple techniques...

#### For Today:

Emissions(X<sub>1</sub>) =  $[\Delta X_1 / \Delta X_2] \times \text{Emissions}(X_2)$ 

#### where:

\*  $\Delta X_2$  = fossil-fuel CO<sub>2</sub> (C<sub>ff</sub>) derived from measurements of <sup>14</sup>CO<sub>2</sub>

 \* Emissions(X<sub>2</sub>) = fossil fuel emissions from the VULCAN inventory (Gurney et al., 2009)

## $\Delta^{14}CO_2$ is a useful proxy for $C_{\rm ff}$



\* In a model, distribution of C<sub>ff</sub> dominates the ∆<sup>14</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> signal over NH land area
 → nuclear power and respiration influences are small
 → figures here are scaled according to mass balance relation of -2.7‰/ppm

\* In practice, measurement precision allows determination of  $C_{\rm ff}$  within  $\pm 1$  ppm

#### Deriving $\Delta X_1$ and $C_{ff}$ from air sample measurements

# Observations at WKT (Texas) relative to background site



#### Apparent Emission Ratio (as median of point-by-point enhancements) HFC-134a vs. C<sub>ff</sub> at WKT (2010)





## Apparent Emission Ratios ( $\Delta X_1 / C_{ff}$ ) :



# Deriving absolute emission rates: Emissions( $X_1$ ) = [ $\Delta X_1 / C_{ff}$ ] × Emissions( $C_{ff}$ )



Emissions (HFC-134a)  $\approx$  43 Gg yr<sup>-1</sup> from NHA & CMA alone  $\approx$  46 Gg yr<sup>-1</sup>

(Miller et al., 2012)

# $Emissions(X_1) = [\Delta X_1 / C_{ff}] \times Emissions(C_{ff})$



### Annual national emissions:

Chemical	Miller <i>et al.*</i>	<b>this work</b> **	EPA *	EDGAR *	
	2006-2009	2010	2005-2009	2005-2009	
CO	41 (16-73)	48	77	62	Tg yr⁻¹
HFC-134a	46 (10-86)	65	55	70	Gg yr⁻¹

\* As reported in Miller et al. (2012) from CMA & NHA only

\*\* Scaled to total US C<sub>ff</sub> emission of 1.6 PgC yr<sup>-1</sup>

#### For California:

		this work	CARB	estimate for 2010
CO	Tg yr⁻¹	3.4	3.5	
HFC-134a	Gg yr⁻¹	6.9	6.0	

...from ave  $\Delta X_1/C_{ff}$  at WGC and MWO in 2010 and Vulcan  $C_{ff}$  for CA in 2002 scaled by EIA to 2010.

### **Refinements planned for the future:**

- 1) Improving our estimates of:
  - \* background concentrations
  - \* emission ratios
  - \* surface sensitivity (footprints)
- 2) Adding observations at new sites to improve spatial coverage.
- 3) Performing inverse modeling analyses of <u>all</u> measurements.
   →with verification potentially provided by <sup>14</sup>C.
- 4) Provide estimates of inter-annual emission changes.

# Conclusions

From atmospheric measurements of a suite of chemicals affecting climate, ozone, and air quality at nine US sites during 2010:

- <u>State-wide and national scale emissions were derived</u> (based on measured atmospheric co-variations with fossilfuel CO<sub>2</sub> and the VULCAN C<sub>ff</sub> inventory)
- \* <u>Substantial variations noted across seasons and space</u> (necessary to characterize for deriving accurate and representative, top-down national emission magnitudes)
- \* Future work will focus on maintaining the observational network; refining our approach, defining robust uncertainties, and comparing results among multiple techniques.

# **Deriving site-specific C<sub>ff</sub> emissions**

#### **Fossil-fuel emission inventory**



Site sensitivity to surface emissions



Gurney, K.R., *et al.* (2009), *Environ. Sci. Technol, 43*, doi:10.1021/es900806c; 2008 emissions used. Footprint calculated with STILT Lagrangian trajectory model driven by WRF winds at 10 km resolution

Site-specific  $C_{\rm ff}$  emissions can be derived by convolving the Vulcan fossil-fuel emission inventory with site- and season-specific surface sensitivity footprints.

## Apparent Emission Ratios ( $\Delta X_1 / C_{ff}$ ) :



## Apparent Emission Ratios ( $\Delta X_1 / C_{ff}$ ) :



# Annual national emissions:

Chemical	Miller <i>et al.*</i>	this work**	EPA *	EDGAR *	)
Years	2006-2009	2010	2005-2009	2005-2009	
CO	41 (16-73)	48	77	62	Tg yr <sup>-1</sup>
SF <sub>6</sub>	1.4 (0.7-3.0)	0.9	0.7	1.8	Gg yr <sup>-1</sup>
HFC-134a	46 (10-86)	65	55	70	Gg yr <sup>-1</sup>
CH <sub>4</sub>	39 (18-69)	41	32	26	Tg yr <sup>-1</sup>
N <sub>2</sub> O	1.7 (0.7-3.6)	1.8	1.0	1.0	Tg yr <sup>-1</sup>
Sites>>	CMA & NHA	nine	All US	All US	

\* As reported in Miller *et al.* (2012) \*\* PRELIMINARY for 2010; Scaled to total US C<sub>ff</sub> emission of 1.6 PgC yr<sup>-1</sup>